## **EPRI/NSF WORKSHOP – PLAYACAR, APRIL 2002** GLOBAL DYNAMIC OPTIMISATION OF THE ELECTRIC POWER GRID

# IMPACT OF INTERACTIONS AMONG POWER SYSTEM CONTROLS

NELSON MARTINS<sup>1</sup> JULIO C.R. FERRAZ<sup>1,2</sup> SERGIO GOMES JR.<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CEPEL <sup>2</sup>COPPE/UFRJ

- >Adverse effects on intra-plant modes caused by improperly designed power system stabilizers
- >Using zeros to understand the adverse terminal voltage transients induced by the presence of PSSs
- >Hopf bifurcations in the control parameters space
- Simultaneous partial pole placement for power system oscillation damping control
- Secondary voltage regulation: preliminary study in the Rio Area

#### **IMPACT OF INTERACTIONS AMONG POWER SYSTEM CONTROLS**

# Adverse Effects on Intra-Plant Modes Caused by Improperly Designed Power System Stabilizers

#### Adverse Effects ON Intra-Plant Modes Caused by PSS

>Large systems  $\Rightarrow$  most multi-unit power plants are usually modeled as single equivalent machines

→Reduces the number of system states, but...

→Does not capture the intra-plant dynamics

>When improperly designed, PSSs may cause adverse interactions and intra-plant mode instability

- ≻Two-unit power plant connected through high а impedance to the infinite bus
  - →2-Machine system
  - →Equivalent SMIB representation



#### Adverse Effects ON Intra-Plant Modes Caused by PSS

## >SMIB, pole-zero map of $[\Delta \omega_1 / \Delta V_{REF1}]$



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### >SMIB system – PSS (center frequency = 1.0 Hz)



#### Adverse Effects ON Intra-Plant Modes Caused by PSS

### >2-machine system, pole-zero map of $[\Delta \omega_1 / \Delta V_{REF1}]$



>2-machine system, pole-zero map of [( $\Delta \omega_1 + \Delta \omega_2$ )/ $\Delta V_{REF1}$ ]



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### Adverse Effects ON Intra-Plant Modes Caused by PSS

>Map of zeros for different number of modeled machines (from 1 to 7)



#### ≻7 Machines, 1 PSS



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### >2-Machine system – 1 PSS (center frequency = 1.0 Hz)



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

>2-Machine system – 2 PSSs (center frequency = 1.0 Hz)



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### Adverse Effects ON Intra-Plant Modes Caused by PSS

#### >2-Machine system – 2 PSSs (center frequency 5.0 Hz)



**IMPACT OF INTERACTIONS AMONG POWER SYSTEM CONTROLS** 

# USING ZEROS TO UNDERSTAND THE ADVERSE TERMINAL VOLTAGE TRANSIENTS INDUCED BY THE PRESENCE OF PSSS

#### ADVERSE IMPACTS ON TERMINAL VOLTAGE DUE TO PSSS

Studying zeros to understand the adverse voltage transients induced by the presence of PSSs

Comparing the performances of PSSs derived from either rotor speed or terminal power signals

## ACTIVE POWER CHANGES FOLLOWING $\Delta P$ MEC IN SMIB



### REACTIVE POWER CHANGES FOLLOWING $\Delta P$ MEC IN SMIB



# POLE-ZERO MAP FOR $\Delta QT / \Delta PMEC (PSSPT)$

#### > Zero near the origin causes bigger overshoot in the step response



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

## POLE-ZERO MAP FOR $\Delta QT / \Delta PMEC (PSS\omega)$



**IMPACT OF INTERACTIONS AMONG POWER SYSTEM CONTROLS** 

# HOPF BIFURCATIONS IN THE CONTROL PARAMETERS SPACE

### **HOPF BIFURCATION ALGORITHMS**

- Compute parameter values that cause crossings of the small-signal stability boundary by critical eigenvalues
- > Hopf bifurcations are computed for:
  - →Single-parameter changes
  - →Multiple-parameter changes (minimum distance in the parameter space)

#### HOPF BIFURCATIONS – TEST SYSTEM UTILIZED

- Brazilian North-South Interconnection: 2,400 buses, 3,400 lines, 120 generators and associated AVRs, 46 stabilizers, 100 speed-governors, 4 SVCs, 2 TCSCs, 1 HVDC link
- Matrix dimension is 13,062 with 48,521 nonzeros and 1,676 states



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### **HOPF BIFURCATIONS – TEST SYSTEM PROBLEM**

>Two TCSCs located at each end of the North-South intertie, equiped with PODs to damp the 0.17 Hz mode

>The Hopf bifurcation algorithms were applied to compute eigenvalue crossings of the security boundary (5% damping ratio) for gain changes in the two PODs

#### **ROOT CONTOUR WHEN REDUCING THE GAINS OF THE 2 TCSCs**



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### **ROOT CONTOUR WHEN INCREASING THE GAINS OF THE 2 TCSCs**



#### **DETERMINING SECURITY BOUNDARIES THROUGH HOPF (5%)**



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### **DETERMINING SECURITY BOUNDARIES THROUGH HOPF (5%)**



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

>Two crossings of the security boundary were found, both being related to POD gains far away from the nominal values(1 pu):

3.529 > K > 0.108

Computational cost of Hopf bifurcation algorithm

→Single-parameter changes : 0.16 s (per iteration)

→Multiple-parameter changes : 0.35 s (per iteration)

Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

**IMPACT OF INTERACTIONS AMONG POWER SYSTEM CONTROLS** 

# SIMULTANEOUS PARTIAL POLE PLACEMENT FOR POWER SYSTEM OSCILLATION DAMPING CONTROL

#### INTRODUCTION

- Purpose ⇒ choose adequate gains for the Power System Stabilizers (PSSs) installed on generators of a test system
- ▷ PSSs ⇒ used to improve the damping factor of electromechanical modes of oscillation
- > Stabilization procedure:
  - → Determine the system critical modes
  - → Determine the machines where the installation of PSSs would be more effective
  - → Assess each PSS contribution to the control effort
  - → Tune the gains of the PSSs using transfer function residues associated with other information

Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### TEST SYSTEM

- Simplified representation of the Brazilian Southern system
- > Characteristics:

32

- → Southeastern region represented by an infinite bus
- → Static exciters with high gain (Ka = 100, Ta = 0.05 s)



#### **Critical electromechanical modes of oscillation**

	Real	Imag.	Freq. (Hz)	Damping
$\lambda_1$	+0.15309	±5.9138	0.94121	-2.59%
$\lambda_2$	+0.17408	±4.6435	0.73904	-3.75%

#### Parameters related to the phase tuning of the PSSs

Number of lead blocks	Tw (s)	Tn (s)	Td (s)
2	3	0.100	0.010



#### **CRITICAL OSCILLATORY MODES**

#### $\lambda_1$ : Itaipu x (South + Southeast)



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### **CRITICAL OSCILLATORY MODES**

 $\lambda_2$ : Southeast x (Itaipu + South)



#### Contribution of Each PSS to the $\lambda$ Shift

- > A change in the gain vector  $\Delta K$  will produce shifts in both the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues
- The contribution of each PSS to these shifts can be estimated using the matrix of transfer function residues
- > For  $\lambda_1$  and three PSSs:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}[\Delta\lambda_{1}] \\ \operatorname{Im}[\Delta\lambda_{1}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}\left[R\left(\frac{\Delta V_{PSS1}}{\Delta V_{REF1}},\lambda_{1}\right) & R\left(\frac{\Delta V_{PSS2}}{\Delta V_{REF2}},\lambda_{1}\right) & R\left(\frac{\Delta V_{PSS3}}{\Delta V_{REF3}},\lambda_{1}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta K_{1} \\ \Delta K_{2} \\ \operatorname{Im}\left[R\left(\frac{\Delta V_{PSS1}}{\Delta V_{REF1}},\lambda_{1}\right) & R\left(\frac{\Delta V_{PSS2}}{\Delta V_{REF2}},\lambda_{1}\right) & R\left(\frac{\Delta V_{PSS3}}{\Delta V_{REF3}},\lambda_{1}\right) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta K_{1} \\ \Delta K_{2} \\ \Delta K_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Contribution of Each PSS to the $\lambda$ Shift

Normalized contribution of each PSS in the shifts of the real and imaginary parts of the two critical eigenvalues



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

## POLE-ZERO MAP OF [ $\Delta \omega / \Delta V_{REF}$ ]

> Map of poles and zeros for the matrix transfer function  $[\Delta \omega / \Delta V_{REF}]$  with PSS in Itaipu



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### POLE PLACEMENT – 2 MODES AND 2 PSSS

Improve the damping factors of two critical oscillatory modes by the use of two PSSs installed in:

→ Itaipu and Salto Segredo

- The gains of the PSSs are computed for a desired shift in the real part of the eigenvalues
- > Gain vector <u>∆K</u> will be calculated at each Newton iteration using the following relation:



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

# **POLE-ZERO MAP OF** $[\Delta ω / \Delta V_{REF}]_{2x2}$

> Map of poles and zeros for the matrix transfer function  $[\Delta \omega / \Delta V_{REF}]_{2x2}$  with PSSs in Itaipu and S. Segredo



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

#### POLE PLACEMENT – 2 MODES AND 2 PSSS



#### POLE PLACEMENT – 2 MODES AND 2 PSSS

- > The pole location must be carefully chosen
  - → `Some specified pole locations may require high PSS gains and cause exciter mode instability
- Comments on the installation of a third PSS
  - → Facilitates the pole placement ⇒ more convenient pole-zero map
  - → Number of PSSs differs from the number of poles to be placed ⇒ pseudo-inverse of a non-square matrix must be computed
  - → Algorithm must be modified

#### **PSEUDO-INVERSE ALGORITHM**

 $\succ$  Problems without unique solution  $\Rightarrow$  pseudo-inverse algorithm

$$\operatorname{Re}[R]_{mxn} \underline{\Delta K}_{nx1} = \operatorname{Re}[\Delta \lambda]_{mx1} \qquad \text{m = number of modes} \\ \mathbf{n} = \operatorname{number of PSSs}$$

- > If  $\underline{m} < \underline{n}$  ⇒ the algorithm will produce gain values that ensure a minimum norm for the gain vector  $\min \|\Delta K\|$
- If <u>m > n</u> ⇒ the algorithm will produce gain values that ensure a minimum norm for the error vector (solution of the least square problem)

$$\min \|\operatorname{Re}[R] \Delta K - \operatorname{Re}[\Delta \lambda]\|$$

#### POLE PLACEMENT – 2 MODES AND 3 PSSS

- > Three PSSs installed in:
  - → Itaipu, Salto Segredo and Foz do Areia
- ➤ Pseudo-inverse algorithm will provide the solution with minimum norm for the gain vector <u>ΔK</u>
- The gains of the PSSs are computed for a desired shift in the real part of the eigenvalues
- > At every iteration, the pseudo-inverse algorithm updates and solves the following matrix equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta K_{1} \\ \Delta K_{2} \\ \Delta K_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \left[ R \left( \frac{\Delta V_{PSS1}}{\Delta V_{REF1}}, \lambda_{1} \right) & R \left( \frac{\Delta V_{PSS2}}{\Delta V_{REF2}}, \lambda_{1} \right) & R \left( \frac{\Delta V_{PSS3}}{\Delta V_{REF3}}, \lambda_{1} \right) \end{bmatrix} \right]^{+} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \left[ \Delta \lambda_{1} \\ \Delta \lambda_{2} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \left[ R \left( \frac{\Delta V_{PSS1}}{\Delta V_{REF1}}, \lambda_{2} \right) & R \left( \frac{\Delta V_{PSS2}}{\Delta V_{REF2}}, \lambda_{2} \right) & R \left( \frac{\Delta V_{PSS3}}{\Delta V_{REF3}}, \lambda_{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^{+} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \left[ \Delta \lambda_{1} \\ \Delta \lambda_{2} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

# **POLE-ZERO MAP OF** $[\Delta ω / \Delta V_{REF}]_{3x3}$

> Map of poles and zeros for the matrix transfer function  $[\Delta \omega / \Delta V_{REF}]_{3x3}$  with PSSs in Itaipu, S. Segredo and Foz do Areia



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls

**46**i

#### POLE PLACEMENT – 2 MODES AND 3 PSSS



Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls



- > **Proposed pole placement algorithm:** 
  - → Based on transfer function residues and Newton method
  - → Uses generalized inverse matrices to address cases without unique solution
- Inspection of the pole-zero map is very useful
- > Pole placement method

- → Selected pole location can impose constraints that may be unnecessarily severe
- → Results may be not feasible ⇒ pole placement may yield undesirably high values for the PSS gains



# >Important developments and increased use of modal analysis

# >Large-scale, control-oriented eigenanalysis

# >Much room for further improvements

Impact of Interactions Among Power System Controls